



Diabetes Australia Research Program

GUIDELINES

2024 General Grant
2024 Millennium Award
2024 Coghlan Award

Please read these Guidelines before completing your application.

Closing date for applications:

Friday, 12 May 2023

Contents

2024 DIABETES AUSTRALIA RESEARCH PROGRAM	4
Overview.....	4
TYPES OF GRANTS AND AWARDS	5
General Grants (one year duration)	5
Millennium Awards (two year duration).....	6
Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award (two year duration)	7
GENERAL INFORMATION	8
WHO CAN APPLY (ELIGIBILITY)	9
Application Requirements.....	9
Peer Review	10
Funding Restrictions	10
One Grant Per Applicant	10
Duplicate Funding	10
GRANT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	11
Research Methods / Quality	11
Potential Research Outcomes / Merit	11
Emerging Leader Potential	11
SCORING SYSTEM	12
GRANT REVIEW PROCESS	14
Overview.....	14
Diabetes Australia Research Advisory Panel	14
Management of Conflicts of Interest	15
General Grants: Two-stage Review Process.....	16
Details of Steps (1 to 5):.....	16
Targeted Areas of Research	17
Millennium Awards: Review Process	18
Consideration of Capacity Building	18
Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award: Review Process	19
Additional Eligibility Criteria	19
Career Disruption	19
Track Record Statement	19
SUCCESSFUL GRANT APPLICATIONS	21
Certification Form	21
Reporting Requirements.....	21
Final / Progress Report	21

Financial Acquittal	21
Acknowledgment	21
Promotion	22
Change to Grant or Award Terms and Conditions	22
Unspent Funds	22
FURTHER INFORMATION.....	23

2024 DIABETES AUSTRALIA RESEARCH PROGRAM

Overview

Diabetes Australia is dedicated to reducing the incidence and impact of diabetes on people, health systems and society. We work with people living with or at risk of diabetes, their families and carers, health professionals, researchers, funders, other diabetes organisations and the community to positively change people's lives.

The Diabetes Australia Research Program has invested around \$36 million in over 500 diabetes research projects across Australia over the past twelve years.

Project applications will be considered across all types of diabetes and areas of interest including type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, diabetes in pregnancy, pre-diabetes and risk factors, behavioural research, self-management of diabetes, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health and diabetes.

Diabetes Australia is pleased to offer the following grants for 2024:

- Two \$150,000 Millennium Awards: one for type 1 diabetes and one for type 2 diabetes.
- One \$150,000 Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award for an early to mid-career researcher.
- 15 General Grants, up to \$60,000 per grant.

Diabetes Australia supports and develops outstanding diabetes research in Australia. We do this by funding research towards the prevention, management or cure for diabetes. We foster young and upcoming diabetes researchers, and diversity in research across many areas of importance.

The Diabetes Australia Research Program is made possible by the generous support of the Estate of Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM, the Thiele Foundation and all our generous supporters, partners and donors.

TYPES OF GRANTS AND AWARDS

General Grants (one year duration)

The Diabetes Australia Research Program funds General Grants up to \$60,000 per grant for the highest ranked applications received, with projects expected to be complete by the end of the 2024 calendar year.

Diabetes Australia Research General Grants are intended to provide for basic science, clinical or translational research. The main focus can be either type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, diabetes in pregnancy (GDM or pre-existing) or pre-diabetes, but is not limited to these areas. These focus areas can reflect various populations, including children, youth, adults, older people, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and the culturally and linguistically diverse. The major classifications of the research include the following:

- Self-management / education
- Psychological / behavioural research; and mental health and diabetes
- Glycaemic management - including use of technologies
- Exercise / nutrition
- Islet biology
- Health care systems research
- Epidemiology
- Insulin resistance / obesity
- Complications - Vision / eye
- Complications - Kidney
- Complications - Nerve
- Complications - Cardiovascular
- Complications - Foot problems and amputations
- Complications - Other

General Grants will be awarded for a one-year period. The successful grant recipients will be paid in two half-yearly instalments (January and July) 30 days after an appropriately rendered Tax Invoice has been received.

Millennium Awards (two year duration)

The two Millennium Awards (one for type 1 diabetes and one for type 2 diabetes) of up to \$150,000 each are granted over a two-year period, with projects expected to be complete by the end of the 2025 calendar year.

The Millennium Awards are intended to provide for basic science, clinical or translational research. The main focus can be either type 1 or type 2 diabetes. These focus areas can reflect various populations, including children, youth, adults, older people, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and the culturally and linguistically diverse. The major classifications of the research include the following:

- Self-management / education
- Psychological / behavioural research; and mental health and diabetes
- Glycaemic management - including use of technologies
- Exercise / nutrition
- Islet biology
- Health care systems research
- Epidemiology
- Insulin resistance / obesity
- Complications - Vision / eye
- Complications - Kidney
- Complications - Nerve
- Complications - Cardiovascular
- Complications - Foot problems and amputations
- Complications - Other

Millennium Awards will be awarded for a two-year period. The successful award recipients will be paid in equal half-yearly instalments (January and July) 30 days after an appropriately rendered Tax Invoice has been received.

Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award (two year duration)

The Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award (Coghlan Award) is a new award of up to \$150,000 over a two-year period, with projects expected to be complete by the end of the 2025 calendar year.

The Coghlan Award is intended to provide for basic science, clinical or translational research. The main focus can be either type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, diabetes in pregnancy (GDM or pre-existing) or pre-diabetes, but is not limited to these areas. These focus areas can reflect various populations, including children, youth, adults, older people, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander and the culturally and linguistically diverse. The major classifications of the research include the following:

- Self-management / education
- Psychological / behavioural research; and mental health and diabetes
- Glycaemic management - including use of technologies
- Exercise / nutrition
- Islet biology
- Health care systems research
- Epidemiology
- Insulin resistance / obesity
- Complications - Vision / eye
- Complications - Kidney
- Complications - Nerve
- Complications - Cardiovascular
- Complications - Foot problems and amputations
- Complications - Other

The Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award will be awarded for a two-year period. The successful award recipient will be paid in equal half-yearly instalments (January and July) 30 days after an appropriately rendered Tax Invoice has been received.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The closing date for applications is **Friday, 12 May 2023**. Late applications will not be accepted.

We expect to be able to notify successful applicants in early November 2023.

All applications are reviewed using the agreed assessment criteria. Please refer to the section titled “Grant Assessment Criteria” of these Guidelines for further details.

WHO CAN APPLY (ELIGIBILITY)

Diabetes Australia Research Program grants are available to non-profit organisations concerned with the promotion of health care, education and / or medical research in the area of diabetes. These organisations must possess the requisite facilities to carry out the activities detailed in the application.

Application Requirements

The application form must be used and completed in its entirety; any incomplete forms may not be accepted.

Only one application per Responsible Investigator (RI) may be submitted for a General Grant.

In addition, only one application per RI may be submitted for a Coghlan or Millennium Award. That is, RIs may only submit one Coghlan or one Millennium Award application, not both.

The applicant (RI) must have secured the appropriate approval from the supporting organisation prior to submitting an application. The accuracy of the information in the application is solely the responsibility of the applicant and the supporting organisation (institution).

Any proposed research involving humans or animals, must conform to the general principles set out by the NHMRC. **Note:** successful applications requiring ethics approval will need to provide proof that approval has been granted before any funding will be provided.

All personnel whose salaries are funded under the application will be subject to the conditions of employment of the organisation by which they are employed. It is the responsibility of the RI to ensure that the salaries of all personnel listed in the budget are appropriate.

Applications that include equipment costing over \$5,000 or conference travel will be ineligible. An application that seeks funding for administrative or other indirect expenses will not be considered. The budget information needs to be transparent; this will assist Diabetes Australia and the reviewers in the assessment of the application.

Details of the proposed project and track record statement (for Coghlan Award applications only) will need to be provided as a separate Portable Document Format (PDF) file. Please note that the maximum page length for details of the proposed project is four pages (excluding references) for the General Grants and nine pages (excluding references) for the Millennium and Coghlan Awards. The maximum page length for the track record statement for Coghlan Award applications is 2 pages. In addition, the following requirements should be met:

- Font: Times New Roman at least 12pt
- Line spacing: at least Single

- Margins: at least 2 cm; and
- Any graphs, tables or pictures should be clear and legible.

The Application form (as a Word document and **not** a PDF) along with the project details (as a PDF) and for Coghlan Awards, the track record statement (as a PDF), must be emailed to research@diabetesaustralia.com.au prior to midnight (Australian Capital Territory) of the closing date.

Peer Review

All applications are to detail the fields of expertise of the Responsible Investigator (RI) and named Participants. In submitting an application, the applicant (RI) acknowledges their obligation to participate in the Diabetes Australia Research Program peer review process and that they have advised all named Participants of their obligation; specifically, as part of the peer review process, **they may be required to review up to three other applications.**

In the event that an RI or named Participant is unable to contribute satisfactorily to the peer review process, the relevant RI will be informed and given a short time to respond. However, should a satisfactory response not be received, Diabetes Australia management will have the discretion to withdraw that application.

Funding Restrictions

One Grant Per Applicant

The Diabetes Australia Research Program will not fund more than one project per applicant per year, consequently:

- an applicant can only win one Grant / Award per grant round; and
- Millennium and Coghlan Award recipients cannot apply for funding in the grant round in the year following their successful application.

Duplicate Funding

The Diabetes Australia Research Program will not fund activities in a General Grant, Millennium Award or Coghlan Award application if they are funded through other Research Programs. Applicants are required to advise the Diabetes Australia Research Program Manager in this circumstance.

GRANT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

General Grant and Millennium Award applications will be assessed against the first two criteria, Research Methods / Quality (40%) and Potential Research Outcomes / Merit (60%) only. Coghlan Award applications will be assessed against all three criteria, Research Methods / Quality (30%), Potential Research Outcomes / Merit (35%) and Emerging Leader Potential (35%).

Research Methods / Quality

This criterion is based on the details of the research plan and assesses the appropriateness of the suggested methods and the scientific quality of the study design. Applications will need to show strong design using robust methods. The reviewer will also need to consider whether the research team has the necessary skills and experience to complete the project; in doing so they should consider the timeframes, sufficiency of the budget and whether the principal researcher has the necessary resources.

Potential Research Outcomes / Merit

This criterion is drawn from the literature review and research plan. This refers to the significance and impact of the study on a particular area of diabetes research or clinical care. Applications will need to show that the results are likely to influence future diabetes research, treatment or clinical care. Originality looks at what is new or likely to be added to the literature by the project. It is advantageous that applicants demonstrate their potential future diabetes leadership through the innovation and originality of the research.

Emerging Leader Potential

This criterion is based on the track record of the applicant which should demonstrate past achievements as well as their potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research.

The final score for General Grants and Millennium Awards will be weighted so that Research Methods / Quality scores and Potential Research Outcomes / Merit scores will contribute 40% and 60% to the final score, respectively.

The final score for the Coghlan Award will be weighted so that Research Methods / Quality scores, Potential Research Outcomes / Merit scores and Emerging Leader Potential will contribute 30%, 35% and 35% to the final score, respectively.

Scoring guidelines specifically aligned to these assessment criteria will be provided to each reviewer (see next section titled "Scoring System").

SCORING SYSTEM

Scoring guidelines which aim to simplify the review process and make the rankings more consistent are outlined in the following table:

Score	Descriptor	Research Methods / Quality	Potential Research Outcomes / Merit	Emerging Leader Potential (Coghlan Award only)
10	Exceptional	Clear objectives, with flawless design. Highly feasible study with all resources required and relevant expertise available.	Will result in a major advance in knowledge and translate into significant outcomes for the diabetes community. Is highly innovative and likely to result in highly influential publications and plenary presentations.	Exceptional potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research Very substantial past achievements, relative to opportunity.
9	Outstanding	Clear objectives with near flawless design. Very likely to be achieved with resources available.	Will advance knowledge in an important area of diabetes and translate into significant outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in highly influential publications.	Outstanding potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Substantial past achievements, relative to opportunity.
8	Excellent	Clear objectives however with some minor design concerns. Good feasibility although some barriers evident which may require minor developmental work.	Will advance knowledge in diabetes and translate into tangible outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in influential publications.	Excellent potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Very many achievements, relative to opportunity.
7	Very Good	Clear objective however with some design concerns. Good feasibility but minor concerns regarding the breadth of relevant expertise available.	Most likely will advance knowledge in diabetes and translate into tangible outcomes for the diabetes community. Is innovative and likely to result in publications. Outcomes can form the basis for a further competitive grant proposal.	Very good potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Many past achievements, relative to opportunity.

Score	Descriptor	Research Methods / Quality	Potential Research Outcomes / Merit	Emerging Leader Potential (Coghlan Award only)
6	Good	Clear objective although several design concerns. Likely to be successfully achieved, although some concerns about the need for more significant developmental work.	Addresses an issue of some importance in diabetes. May have some novel aspects and may result in publications. Outcomes can form the basis for a further competitive grant proposal.	Good potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Average past achievements, relative to opportunity.
5	Satisfactory	Clear objectives although several potentially significant design concerns. Can be achieved but needs some potentially significant developmental work.	Will address an issue of minor importance in diabetes and may have some novel aspects. May result in publications.	Satisfactory potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Below average past achievements, relative to opportunity.
4	Fair	Some objectives questionable or unclear, several significant design concerns. Some concerns regarding feasibility.	Will address an issue of minor importance in diabetes. Results will only underpin existing knowledge in the field. May result in low impact publications.	Fair potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Several past achievements, relative to opportunity.
3	Marginal	Objectives questionable or unclear. Several significant design concerns and unlikely to be successful.	Does not address an issue of more than marginal concern in the field and follows previously established approaches.	Marginal potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Some past achievements, relative to opportunity.
2	Unsatisfactory	Contains several major design flaws and unlikely to be completed successfully.	Does not address an issue of any importance in the field and has little novelty.	Limited potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research. Few past achievements, relative to opportunity.
1	Poor	Unfeasible and poorly designed research plan unlikely to be successful.	Does not address an area of any importance in the field and will not advance current knowledge.	Limited potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes research Very few past achievements, relative to opportunity.

GRANT REVIEW PROCESS

Overview

The Diabetes Australia Research Program is a robust peer review process and relies on the cooperation of researchers providing reviews on a timely basis. Diabetes Australia understands researchers' time limitations and due to the high volume of General Grant applications, introduced a two-stage review process in 2014 for General Grants. This process allows for greater time to be dedicated to high quality research applications, reduces the high time commitment required by reviewers and reduces administrative effort.

It is paramount that the quality of the review process remains high, as our funds come from the community, via Diabetes Australia members, corporate partners, trusts and individual donors. We also need to ensure that this high quality peer review process maintains the confidence of the scientific community. This will allow the Diabetes Australia Research Program to attract the highest calibre applications and ensure the confidence of current and future donors, and the wider community.

The following principles guide the Diabetes Australia Research Program peer review process:

- Fair (all applications treated equally and in accordance with documented processes)
- Transparent (assessment criteria and process clearly documented)
- Merit based (funding decisions based on merit)
- Obligation to donors and targeted areas for funding consideration to be pre-defined and communicated to ensure an equitable process.

Our aim is to support the best research ideas each year as judged by peers and in accordance with these Guidelines. Peer decisions remain the cornerstone of the grant process, and the Assessment Criteria described earlier are:

- Research methods and quality
- Potential research outcomes and merit
- Emerging Leader Potential (Coghlan Award only)

Diabetes Australia Research Advisory Panel

It must be recognised that peer review is a complex process and is undertaken by committed researchers on a voluntary basis. The task of reviewing and allocating applications for further dissemination is challenging and time consuming and further requirements for adjudication is a large commitment. To assist in this process Diabetes Australia has a Research Advisory Panel which assists the Co-Chairs in coordinating and maintaining the integrity of the Grant and Award peer review process. The Research Advisory Panel is appointed by the Co-Chairs; it will typically

have around 30 to 40 members who are experienced experts in a particular field. Diabetes Australia's overarching principles for the Research Advisory Panel are:

- (i) Broad state and territory representation
- (ii) Approximately 35 – 40% of Research Advisory Panel members retire per annum
- (iii) Representation from large and small institutions
- (iv) Experience and expertise in a particular field.

The Research Advisory Panel has two Co-Chairs appointed by the Diabetes Australia Research Board. The Co-Chairs report to the Board and are responsible for ensuring that peer review policies are upheld. The Co-Chairs will be experienced researchers of the highest integrity who have no or minimal conflicts of interest with the applications under review. In general, the Co-Chairs will maintain the integrity of the process by providing guidance and structure whilst remaining independent.

To assist with the review process the Research Advisory Panel will be organised into the following subcommittees:

- Children and youth diabetes
- Diabetes in pregnancy (GDM or pre-existing)
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people; and Cultural and linguistic diversity
- Patient self-management and education
- Psychological and behavioural research; and mental health and diabetes
- Glycaemic management - including use of technologies
- Exercise and nutrition
- Epidemiology and healthcare systems research
- Insulin resistance and obesity
- Islet biology
- Complications - eye / kidney / nerve / other
- Complications - foot problems and amputations
- Complications - cardiovascular

Management of Conflicts of Interest

The difficulty in a small research community is that potential conflicts of interest arise frequently. All Research Advisory Panel members and reviewers must declare their conflicts of interest prior to assessing confidential information; this includes Grant and Award applications in full. In respect of conflicted Research Advisory Panel members, they will not participate in a discussion in which there is a real or perceived conflict of interest, and they are required to excuse themselves from that aspect of a meeting and any relevant application review(s). No reviewer will be assigned an application on which they have a conflict of interest.

General Grants: Two-stage Review Process

The key steps for the General Grants two-stage review process are:

1. After the closing date, applications are allocated to the appropriate Research Advisory Panel subcommittee.
2. Each application will be reviewed by a member of that Research Advisory Panel subcommittee (or their delegate).
3. Meeting of the Research Advisory Panel subcommittees to consider which applications will proceed to second stage review.
4. General Grants deemed competitive are sent for second stage review involving two further external reviewers allocated by the Research Advisory Panel.
5. Those applications with a wide disparity of scores obtained in the second stage review may be discussed at a Research Advisory Panel adjudication to determine a final agreed score.
6. Diabetes Australia management in partnership with the Co-Chairs will recommend the highest ranked applicants to the Diabetes Australia Research Board.
7. The Diabetes Australia Research Board will review the recommendations from Diabetes Australia management and the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs. The directors approve the applications to be funded and the total number funded depends on the total amount of funding available each year.

Details of Steps (1 to 5):

Step 1 – Allocation to appropriate subcommittee for the first review.

Co-Chairs allocate applications to the appropriate Research Advisory Panel subcommittee according to the applicant's self-assessed classification. This is to ensure each application is reviewed by those with the most appropriate expertise.

Step 2 – First stage review of applications and appointment of spokesperson.

Each application will be assigned to a Research Advisory Panel member who will review the application and act as the primary spokesperson for the application as necessary. If the application receives a high score, in the top one third of applications, it will automatically proceed to the second stage review (see Step 4). If the application receives a low score, in the bottom one third of applications, it will not be considered further. For applications with scores in the middle one third of applications, the application will be referred to the Research Advisory Panel First Stage Review meeting for further consideration (see Step 3).

Step 3 – First Stage Review Meeting and Recommendations.

The purpose of the meeting is to decide which applications are competitive and will proceed to second stage review. At this meeting an application will be presented by the primary spokesperson, with a secondary spokesperson as necessary. Research Advisory Panel members are provided with an opportunity to discuss the application and to present their views. The Co-Chairs will encourage full and robust discussion and a positive majority decision will progress an application to the second stage review, noting that the Co-Chairs will not participate in any vote. All applications that do not proceed to the second stage review will be ineligible for funding.

Step 4 – Second Stage Review.

All applications which proceed to the second stage review are sent to a further two external independent reviewers nominated by the primary spokesperson. These reviewers can be selected from the pool of Grant and Award named applicants and Participants, according to their expertise in a particular field.

Step 5 – Scores, Ranking and Adjudication.

Each competitive application will be reviewed by three reviewers. The three scores will then be collated by the Diabetes Australia Research Program team. The overall score for each application will be the average score of the three reviews and this allows all applications to be ranked in order of merit. A Research Advisory Panel adjudication may be required to discuss those applications with a wide disparity of scores to determine a final agreed score.

Upon request, reviewers' comments will be made available to unsuccessful applicants following the final award of grants.

Targeted Areas of Research

In some instances, the Diabetes Australia Research Program will seek to provide a number of General Grants for projects in Targeted Areas of Research aligned with the Diabetes Australia strategic plan and objectives, and/or to support research partnerships with other organisations.

The Diabetes Australia Research Board will determine the process for selecting projects in these areas which may vary from year to year and will be highlighted in these Guidelines.

Targeted Areas of Research for the 2024 General Grant Round.

Projects focused on:

- self-monitoring & self-management education and support in diabetes;
- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people and diabetes; and
- behavioural and psychological research in diabetes.

Millennium Awards: Review Process

After the closing date, Millennium Award applications will be assigned to five reviewers by the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs. Reviewers will be assigned according to their area of expertise, and assistance may be sought from the Research Advisory Panel members to contribute to the review and / or to nominate appropriate reviewers. The general steps in the Millennium Award application review process are:

- Research Advisory Panel allocation to five reviewers
- Scores are collated and ranked by the Diabetes Australia Research Program team
- Adjudication if required
- Diabetes Australia management in partnership with the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs recommend the highest ranked applicants to the Diabetes Australia Research Board
- Diabetes Australia Research Board awards two Millennium Awards

Consideration of Capacity Building

The Diabetes Australia Research Board in determining which recommended applications to fund, may take into consideration an applicant's proven ability to build capacity in diabetes research.

Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award: Review Process

After the closing date, Charles Campbell Coghlan OAM Emerging Researcher Award applications will be assigned to five reviewers by the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs. Reviewers will be assigned according to their area of expertise, and assistance may be sought from the Research Advisory Panel members to contribute to the review and / or to nominate appropriate reviewers. The general steps in the Coghlan Award application review process are:

- Research Advisory Panel allocation to five reviewers
- Scores are collated and ranked by the Diabetes Australia Research Program team
- Adjudication if required
- Diabetes Australia management in partnership with the Research Advisory Panel Co-Chairs recommend the highest ranked applicants to the Diabetes Australia Research Board
- Diabetes Australia Research Board awards one Coghlan Award

Additional Eligibility Criteria

In addition to the existing eligibility criteria (see section titled “Who can apply (Eligibility)”), applicants for the Coghlan Award must be less than 15 years post PhD (or equivalent).

Career Disruption

A career disruption is a prolonged interruption to the ability to work due to pregnancy, illness/injury and/or carer responsibilities. Career disruptions can be included on the application and will be taken into account in track record assessment (see below, “Track Record Statement”) and in determining an applicant’s eligibility to hold a Coghlan Award (see above, “Additional Eligibility Criteria”).

Track Record Statement

Applicants are required to demonstrate past achievements as well as their potential to become an emerging leader in diabetes through their track record statement. This statement should be no more than 2 pages and include information not listed elsewhere in the application. This includes any relative to opportunity considerations that should be taken into account. The following areas should be considered in preparing this statement:

- career summary including qualifications, employment and appointment history
- research support including grants and fellowships
- contribution to field of diabetes research
- patents including the type of patent, if the patent has been granted, when it has been granted, to whom it has been granted and if it is current
- collaborations
- community engagement and participation
- professional involvement including committees, conference organisation, conference participation
- international standing including invitations to speak, international committees
- supervision and mentoring

- peer review involvement including Diabetes Australia, other granting organisations, manuscripts, editorial responsibilities
- industry relevant expertise and output
- other contributions to Diabetes Australia and other diabetes organisations
- other information you think is vital to your application

In addition, applicants are asked to list their top 5 publications in the last 5 years and reasons why these publications have been selected.

SUCCESSFUL GRANT APPLICATIONS

Certification Form

A Certification Form signed by the applicant and the institution will need to be completed prior to payment of any funds. The Certification Form and these Guidelines together form the basis of the terms and conditions of funding for the identified project.

Reporting Requirements

General Grants are expected to be completed within one calendar year and Millennium and Coghlan Awards are expected to be completed within two calendar years.

Final / Progress Report

Within three months of the expiry of each granting period, a Final Report must be forwarded to the Diabetes Australia Research Program team. In the case of Millennium and Coghlan Awards, a Progress Report on the first year should also be provided within three months of the completion of the first year.

The report should be consistent with the information requirements of our Final / Progress Report template, available on our website or by contacting the team at research@diabetesaustralia.com.au

Financial Acquittal

Within three months of the expiry of each granting period, the institution responsible for administering the grant must provide a financial acquittal of the funds received to the Diabetes Australia Research Program team.

The report should include the amount of funding received; expenditure across the categories identified in the application; and should be signed by the finance officer.

Acknowledgment

Any publication arising from work funded by a General Grant, Millennium Award or Coghlan Award must acknowledge the support of Diabetes Australia and specific sponsors where relevant.

Promotion

Recipients are required to actively promote diabetes research through a range of channels including but not limited to:

- providing a 90-second video, if requested, on why the Diabetes Australia Research Program and your research is important, especially for people living with diabetes;
- participating in communications, including video presentations, media releases and publications (such as Circle and the Cure Club newsletter); and
- speaking at events.

In addition, recipients are required to:

- present their Diabetes Australia Research Program funded research at least once at an Australasian Diabetes Congress within 2 years of the award (General Grants) or 3 years (Millennium and Coghlan Awards). This requirement may also be met by presenting their research at an Australasian Paediatric Endocrine Group or Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society meeting.
- advise Diabetes Australia Research of any publication of their findings, preferably prior to the findings being published.

The support provided by the Diabetes Australian Research Program should be appropriately acknowledged in abstracts and publications related to the above requirements.

Change to Grant or Award Terms and Conditions

When a recipient is awarded a General Grant, Millennium Award or Coghlan Award, they are to refer to these Guidelines and complete other requirements in the offer letter. If the recipient wishes to change any of the terms and conditions, including deliverables, they need to obtain written permission from the Diabetes Australia Research Program Manager. This does occur from time to time and the most likely scenarios are:

- Request for extension to the grant period
- Change of institution or responsible investigator
- Change in payment schedule

Unspent Funds

All funds which are unexpended or uncommitted at the end of the grant period are to be returned to the Diabetes Australia Research Program.

FURTHER INFORMATION

To obtain forms, guidelines and information, please visit the Diabetes Australia web site <http://www.diabetesaustralia.com.au/research>

For further information, please contact the Diabetes Australia Research Program on 02 6232 3800 or contact us via email: research@diabetesaustralia.com.au